			1		
1		NITED STATES DISTRI ERN DISTRICT OF ILL			
2	NORTH	EASTERN DIVISION	111013		
3					
4	ROBERT KOLINEK, et a	al., }	Docket No. 13 C 4806		
5		Plaintiffs,			
6	vs.	}			
7	WALGREEN CO., an Illi Corporation,	nois	Chicago, Illinois August 12, 2015 9:30 o'clock a.m.		
8	,) Defendant.	9:30 o'clóck a.m.		
9					
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - MOTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW F. KENNELLY				
11					
12	APPEARANCES:				
13	For the Plaintiffs:	EDELSON PC	D		
14		BY: MR. RAFEY S. MR. BENJAMIN	HARRIS RICHMAN		
15		Chicago, IL 60654	Street, Suite 1300 1		
16		(312) 589-6370			
17 18	For the Objectors	HOWE LAW LLC			
19	For the Objectors:	BY: MR. ARTHUR J.			
20		155 North Wacker E Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 600-8336	orive, surce 450		
21		(312) 000-0330			
22					
23	Court Reporter:	MS CAROLYN R COX	K, CSR, RPR, CRR, FCRR		
24	COURT ROPORTOR .	Official Court Rep 219 S. Dearborn St	porter		
25		Chicago, Illinois (312) 435-5639	60604		
20		(312) 400 0000			

```
1
       (The following proceedings were had in open court:)
 2
              THE CLERK: 13 C 4806, Kolinek v. Walgreen.
 3
              MR. BALBANIAN: Good morning, your Honor. Rafey
 4
    Balbanian and Ben Richman on behalf of the plaintiff Kolinek
 5
    and the settlement class.
 6
              MR. HOWE: Arthur Howe on behalf of the class
 7
    objectors, the Cozby group. In addition --
 8
              THE COURT: You have a motion to file an appearance
 9
    on file somewhere in here.
10
              MR. HOWE: Yes, I do.
11
              THE COURT: That's granted. That's document number
12
     141.
          It's a motion to substitute attorneys.
13
              MR. HOWE: Your Honor, if I may, Mr. McDonald, who is
14
     lead counsel for the Franz objector, yesterday afternoon
15
     requested that I appear on his behalf as well as local
16
              I will be filing a motion to substitute on his
    counsel.
17
    behalf as well.
18
              THE COURT: Your oral motion to substitute on behalf
19
    of whom?
20
              MR. HOWE:
                        The Franz objector, F-r-a-n-z.
21
              THE COURT:
                         Do you know his first name?
22
              MR. HOWE: Yes, Allen (sic) McDonald is the counsel.
23
              THE COURT: Just the name of the person.
24
              MR. HOWE:
                         I believe it's --
25
              MR. BALBANIAN:
                              It's Melinda, your Honor.
```

1 MR. HOWE: Melinda. 2 THE COURT: On behalf of Melinda Franz is granted. 3 You don't have to file a motion. You'll get the order. 4 file your appearance. 5 MR. HOWE: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: What I've got is there's two motions to 7 -- basically for protective orders or to quash or whatever 8 they're called relating to discovery, right? MR. HOWE: Yes, your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: So I guess -- you know, it doesn't happen 11 all that often, but I guess I have to say that I am a little 12 bit concerned that, you know, given the stage that the case is 13 at, that this discovery would have just gone out without 14 somebody coming in to ask first because we are talking about 15 unnamed class members here. I understand that they have 16 stepped forward because there have been objections filed, but 17 I am not sure you did this the right way. 18 MR. BALBANIAN: I understand.

THE COURT: So what are we talking about?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BALBANIAN: I think that's my only weakness, frankly, on this issue. It didn't occur to me, your Honor, that I'd have to seek leave of Court. I understand the Court's discussion I think with class counsel in the Southwest case, but, frankly, I just didn't know the context of that. I believe in that case, they included a provision about

discovery in their preliminary approval order.

THE COURT: Right, which ended up being a bone of contention actually later on.

MR. BALBANIAN: Okay. There wasn't language in that in this case intentionally or unintentionally. As far as we were concerned, discovery was open. We had met and conferred with --

THE COURT: There never was a discovery cutoff date because the case settled in a relatively early stage.

MR. BALBANIAN: Yes, and discovery was ongoing between us and Walgreens, quite frankly. We had met and conferred with Walgreens' counsel prior to issuing this discovery on the objectors. I want to be clear. They make the statement that this is, you know, a vendetta thing against all objectors. We didn't issue discovery against all the objectors. There's a number of objectors that we have completely left alone of course because they have a right to object. Class members have a right to object. That's not the issue.

The issue is that certain objectors have a past of being professional objectors and lodging frivolous objections in order to extract the payout, and in just -- with respect to these motions before the Court, you only have to look so far as the Oldhamm and Hughes objectors, who aren't even class members, and we alerted their counsel of that. Mr. Brawn

(phonetic) -- excuse me, counsel is acting as local counsel here today, we alerted their attorney of that, and lo and behold, after a while, he withdrew the objection. And unfortunately, this is somewhat of the kind of, I don't know, nastier side of class actions because I have had many cases where we have sought discovery against certain professional objectors and I have even had class members call me and say, hey, guess what, that objection that was filed in my name, I had no idea it was going to be filed.

So this -- a couple of points I want to make about kind of the timing and everything and that this was meant to harass or intimidate. I don't view the approval process as something that would have been finished on the August 5th date that we just had. I was quite certain that given the objections and whatnot, and even in the absence of those objections, I am familiar with this Court's process, I didn't think final approval is just a one-day type thing. I am building a record.

They have made pretty clear that no matter what, it's going up. It's going up, and that's fine. It's going to go up, but I get to make a record if it goes up. I am going to move for an appeal bond.

When it goes up, I am not -- not that I am not, but they are going to make the argument that at that point, I can't ask this Court for discovery because this Court has been

divested of jurisdiction.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BALBANIAN: That actually same exact scenario happened to me in the Netflix case that was pending before Judge Davila. It was a huge class too, 62 million people. It got like a hundred objections, maybe 20 professionals, the case went up on appeal, but I hadn't issued discovery and I had to go back to the district court and ask for it, and I was given that discovery, but guess what, everybody made the argument that I wasn't even allowed to go back to the district court. For not seeking leave from this Court, I understand the Court's concern.

THE COURT: Well, we are past that now. Okay. So you've explained it to me.

I'm looking at the attachment to the Franz motion. Basically, it's essentially a six-item or seven- or eight-item request for documents. It's for retainer agreements relating to this case; retainer agreements that the particular objector had with the same lawyers in other cases; records showing that you got one of the calls that's at issue, so that would be a class membership thing I'm assuming; non-privileged documents that support your objection, we will come back to that; non-privileged documents including correspondence between you and any person other than your attorneys that relate to this action; so, in other words, if they wrote to anybody else;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
correspondence with other objectors; and then stuff that you
may have filed in other cases in which you acted as an
objector; and then correspondence you had with the lawyers
before the existence of an attorney-client relationship.
         Okay. So let me add -- Mr. Howe, let me ask you.
Just putting aside questions of timing, putting aside
questions of did they give proper notice under Rule 45,
because that's a curable defect, talk to me about the
substance of what's being asked for; and if you think that
it's not appropriate, tell me why.
         MR. HOWE: Two points, your Honor. First, the
Seventh Circuit has counseled long ago in the Clark case
(1974) that class counsel has a, quote, severe, close quote,
burden if they wish to seek discovery of a class member or an
objector.
         THE COURT:
                     Did Clark -- that's a pretty old case.
         MR. HOWE: Right, but --
         THE COURT: Did it come up in this context?
         MR. HOWE:
                    Yes, it did, your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
         MR. HOWE:
                    And they need to show necessity and the
absence of an improper motive to seek -- to take discovery
with respect to class members.
         I've looked and my co-counsel has looked through the
Northern District of Illinois cases. We have not seen a
```

```
1
    single case that has allowed the discovery that is being
 2
    sought here.
 3
              THE COURT: Did you see any that disallowed it?
 4
              MR. HOWE:
                         I had seen cases from other circuits that
 5
    have disallowed it, and I have seen many cases --
 6
              THE COURT: You are talking about Northern District.
 7
     I know why that is. Nobody is going to write an opinion on
           They have too much else to do. These things are going
 9
    to get ruled on orally like I am going to do today.
10
              MR. HOWE: There are some slip opinions, your Honor,
11
    and if your Honor will permit me, since their motion was
12
    submitted, I can cite to additional cases.
13
              THE COURT:
                         Sure.
14
              MR. HOWE:
                         If you wish, for the record --
15
              THE COURT: Go for it.
16
              MR. HOWE: -- I can put these in the form of a
17
    letter.
18
              THE COURT: Just tell me.
19
              MR. HOWE: Daniels v. LifeLock Marketing. The docket
20
    number is 10 CV 1554 IEG. It's Southern District of
21
    California. The date is July 29, 2010.
22
              THE COURT: Is there a West Law cite or something?
23
              MR. HOWE: I do not have that with me, your Honor.
24
    The other is Van Horn v. Nationwide, docket 108 CV 605,
25
    Northern District of Ohio.
```

1 THE COURT: That doesn't do me any good unless you 2 are going to give me a citation of something I can go find. 3 MR. HOWE: As soon as I am back in the office, your 4 Honor, I will kick a letter over to the Court which I will 5 file electronically and provide it to other counsel with the 6 citations, and, in fact, I can provide copies of the opinions. 7 Yes, there are -- returning to my first point, there 8 are courts that have struck down the discovery for this 9 precise reason, because the burden has not been met, and in 10 addition, at this stage of the litigation, which is no longer 11 an adversarial stage, the role --12 It's not? You could have fooled me. THE COURT: 13 MR. HOWE: Well, not with respect to the issue of the 14 approval of the settlement. Walgreens and class counsel are 15 in agreement on this, and Walgreens has --16 THE COURT: Wait a second. Why are you here? 17 MR. HOWE: Well, I am here because the objector plays 18 a role --19 THE COURT: Because you're objecting. 20 MR. HOWE: Right. The objector now plays the role of 21 the adversary which is necessary to the Court. 22 THE COURT: Don't tell me it's not an adversarial 23 proceeding because it is. 24 MR. HOWE: It's no longer adversarial vis-à-vis class 25 counsel and Walgreens.

1 THE COURT: But they are not asking for discovery 2 from Walgreens. They are asking for discovery from the person 3 that you just said is their adversary. 4 MR. HOWE: Your Honor, on to my second point, the 5 discovery here is simply overbroad. It is --6 THE COURT: Let me go back to something you said 7 before. Clark, the case you -- the Seventh Circuit case you 8 cited --9 MR. HOWE: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: -- I asked you a question, did that come 11 up in this context, and you told me yes. Do you want to think 12 that through again? 13 I believe --MR. HOWE: 14 THE COURT: When I say "this context," I mean in the 15 context of an objection to a settlement and a request for 16 discovery from the objectors. 17 MR. HOWE: No, not with respect to objections. 18 THE COURT: That's the context that we are dealing 19 Clark, from my recollection -- and I will -- I am 20 pulling it up right now, but from my recollection, because I 21 have had to read Clark in the past, that is a case about 22 whether the defendant in the lawsuit, while it's still 23 adversarial between the class or the putative class and the 24 defendant, whether the defendant can get discovery from 25 unnamed class members. That's something that comes up quite a

```
1
    bit in class actions where the defendant wants to go -- for
 2
    example, employment cases, where the defendant wants to go
 3
    take the depositions of people who are part of the class but
 4
    not named class members. So I am going to look at this now.
 5
    Do you want to revisit your answer? You gave me an equivocal
 6
    answer.
 7
              MR. HOWE:
                         I will revise my answer. I do not believe
 8
    Clark dealt with the precise context.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Is there any Seventh Circuit case
10
    that talks about discovery in this context, this context being
11
    objections that have been made to a settlement and one of the
12
    parties that's promoting the settlement or advocating the
13
    settlement asks for discovery from one of the objecting
14
    parties.
15
              MR. HOWE:
                         I am not aware of a Seventh Circuit case.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
17
              MR. HOWE:
                         I am aware of other authority and --
18
              THE COURT: Circuit-level authority?
19
              MR. HOWE: Yes, cited in the motion.
                                                    In addition,
20
    your Honor, Federal Class Action Manual by Timothy Eble --
21
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
22
              MR. HOWE:
                         -- states --
23
              THE COURT:
                          I am not sure I know who that is, but
24
    whatever.
25
              MR. HOWE: -- Discovery after certification of a
```

1 class which is directed to individual absent class members 2 should be permitted only to the extent necessary, and 3 that's --4 THE COURT: That's the same context. You got to keep 5 on point here. That is the same context as what we were just 6 That's where the defendant can get discovery talking about. 7 from an unnamed class member in a case where a class has been 8 certified. 9 What we are talking here about is about discovery of 10 an objector to a settlement that relates to the objection. So 11 I am now looking through your motion to find where the 12 circuit-level authority is relating to that that you just 13 referred to. Tell me what page of your motion I should be 14 looking at. 15 MR. HOWE: Bear with me one moment, your Honor. 16 Page 8. 17 THE COURT: Page 8. I must be looking at the wrong 18 motion then because the one I'm looking at doesn't have a 19 page 8. 20 MR. HOWE: I am looking at the --21 I am looking at the Franz motion. Ι THE COURT: 22 should be looking at the Bullard motion? 23 MR. HOWE: I have the Cozby motion, which is 24 document --25 THE COURT: I've got it. Cozby, right. It's docket

1 number 144. 2 Right. MR. HOWE: 3 THE COURT: Let me just find page 8. Just a second. 4 MR. HOWE: And that cite, as your Honor is familiar 5 with, the Leavitt decision --6 THE COURT: That was a district court. I know that I 7 am not on the Court of Appeals. 8 MR. HOWE: Right. 9 THE COURT: So where in your motion do I find the 10 circuit-level authority, circuit, in other words, being from a 11 Court of Appeals, that says that this kind of discovery is not 12 appropriate? 13 Page 10, Fine Paper. MR. HOWE: 14 THE COURT: Page 10. 15 MR. HOWE: Third Circuit. 16 THE COURT: All right. I am going to pull that case 17 up now, and I'll bet you the change in my pocket that it's 18 going to say that the district judge didn't abuse his 19 discretion, not that he had to disallow it. 751 F.3d 562 at 20 587. 21 MR. HOWE: Your Honor, pretty much the context of 22 what we cited in our moving paper. The Court of Appeals 23 affirmed the district court's, quote, Refusal to permit an 24 already cluttered record to be further confused by an inquiry

so completely collateral to the central issue of

reasonableness of the fees request, close quote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But we've got more at issue here than the reasonableness of the fees. People are objecting to the settlement itself, right?

MR. BALBANIAN: Yes, your Honor, just to answer the question.

THE COURT: I am pretty sure that's the case.

Hang on just a second. Let me just find this opinion here.

So what the Court was dealing with there -- I am going to quote you the entire paragraph. It's basically three sentences. This is In Re Fine Paper, antitrust litigation, 751 F.2d 562 at page 587 (3rd Cir. 1984). Quote, Lawrence Walner & Associates, Ltd., moved to have the Court require the disclosure in camera of fees paid by the settling defendants in the underlying litigation and by the corporate objectors in this and other antitrust litigation. This request was made for the purpose of enlightening the Court as to reasonable hours and hourly rates for comparable lawyers in complex litigation. The information sought certainly was relevant and arguably even helpful, citing several cases. Discovery rulings are reviewed, however, for abuse of discretion. Considering all the evidence offered on hours and rates and the likelihood that such discovery would generate inquiries into collateral matters such as privilege, we cannot

hold that the Court abused its discretion in denying the motion. Fortunately, though, I think I only have 37 cents in my pocket, so I would have won that bet.

It doesn't strike me that it involved the same issues. And, by the way, the Court said it was relevant. Basically, what the Court concluded -- what the Third Circuit concluded is that the judge had plenty of information about hourly rates, it didn't need any more. I have no information about the objectors, zip, none.

So give me some reason why you think that this is a bad idea, because an appeal to the judge's discretion, which is what we are talking about here, has to do with whether it is a good idea and makes sense or not. So tell me why you think this doesn't make sense or is irrelevant or whatever.

MR. HOWE: Two reasons. First, generic to the class; second, specific to the class members.

First, the system should be set up in order to facilitate and encourage legitimate objections to be brought. If objectors know that they are going to be subject to discovery, it's going to have a deterrent effect and make it less likely that objections will be brought, low chance of any type of compensation, certainty of a burden. It will inhibit what you seek to encourage, which is having an objector come in so that you can have legitimate objectors -- objections being stated.

Second, your Honor, specific to the class, you know everything that there is for you to know with respect which is the objection that has been stated, and the identity of the particular objector or the identity of their counsel, to be honest, does not affect the legitimacy or the validity of any objection that is to be asserted.

Class counsel already has filed its response to the objections that have been made. They did so without seeking to get an extension in order to take the discovery. The record is already prepared. Your Honor can rule with respect to the objections, and there is no need to know whether there was an objection that some lawyer filed in some other case which is unrelated.

And I will note, your Honor, as a point of personal privilege, I have heard class counsel say several times this morning talking about professional objections and talking about objections being frivolous, Rule 11 applies here. If they think that something is frivolous, they should be sending a safe harbor letter, and we will respond accordingly. And with respect to professional objections, I have never objected to a class action settlement before.

THE COURT: They are not necessarily talking about you.

MR. BALBANIAN: I am not, your Honor.

MR. HOWE: And I have worked for a long time with the

1 folks at Plews Shadley. This is the first time with 2 Mr. McDonald, but those lawyers are very good lawyers, and to 3 denigrate them by suggesting that their work is frivolous or 4 that their work is simply a professional objector has no 5 basis. 6 THE COURT: Let me ask a couple of questions here. 7 So did you send any discovery requests to any 8 objector who hadn't retained counsel? 9 MR. BALBANIAN: One only, because we learned that it 10 had been ghost written by a professional objector. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Secondly, are you -- with the 12 deposition subpoena, are you actually looking for testimony or 13 are you just looking for records or both? 14 MR. BALBANIAN: Both. Can I just --15 THE COURT: Respond to what Mr. Howe has said. 16 MR. BALBANIAN: A couple quick points. 17 I want to address real quick what he just finished 18 with. I didn't serve discovery on Mr. Howe. 19 THE COURT: You know what? I am going to save you 20 your breath. I do not care about, you know, whether somebody 21 thinks somebody's made unwarranted accusations or not. 22 not going to decide that today. It has no bearing on what I 23 have to decided today, none. That may be an issue at a later 24 point in time, none. Just respond on the merits of what he

25

said.

MR. BALBANIAN: Understood.

THE COURT: Basically, he says it's going to chill people from filing objections.

MR. BALBANIAN: Chilling effect. He is wrong. I can point the Court to an objector who objected to my Netflix settlement, and that case was a blood bath. No offense to use that terminology in court. That case was unbelievably contentious when it came to the objections, and every one of those objections was eventually withdrawn because those objectors had real issues in that case. They are in this case too. There is no chilling effect on these people, no offense. This is their business, and that's fine. It is what it is. But this is how we deal with each other.

You know, they object and they make arguments that are less than I think meritorious and are contradicted by the law. They've got a past of making the exact same objections, copying and pasting the exact same objections, and that absolutely goes to the merit of the objection, it goes to the motivations behind it, that goes to appeal issues, bond issues, all of that.

So to the second point as far as timing, I think they're just wrong. We are allowed to build a record, and I can point the Court to scores of district-level cases where this type of discovery has been allowed time and again.

The other issue here is -- and I think it's happening

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in this case more than I've seen in the past, but you've got a ghost writing problem in this case. That's a real issue. They point to the Seventh Circuit's opinion in Pearson and Redman v. RadioShack talking about the utility of objectors. Judge Posner even commended an individual. His name is Ted I think he objected to the Southwest settlement that was before this court. Mr. Frank just came out with an affidavit that says that he moonlights and he writes objections for some of the more notorious objectors like Christopher Bandas and Darrell Palmer, who, by the way, has been disbarred, and Mr. Palmer is the individual whose client contacted me in the Netflix case saying I never signed up for this. That guy tells courts -- I am saying Mr. Frank -- that he doesn't take any money for these types of cases, that this is just done to protect class members. But at the end of the day, he came out with an affidavit in the Capital One case that was in front of Judge Holderman saying, well, I actually did moonlight for some of these objections. THE COURT: I am going to cut this short.

In terms of having served the subpoena on other parties, there's actually only one other party to the case, and that's Walgreens. At what point did you serve Walgreens with the subpoena? At the same time?

MR. BALBANIAN: Yes, and I conferred with them prior to it.

THE COURT: I don't regard the objectors as parties because, quite frankly, Mr. Howe, you just argued that they weren't, and so I don't think that there's any requirement that you have to serve all objectors with the subpoena. And I think that if there's a violation of Rule 45 for having served Walgreens simultaneously or close to simultaneously, it's harmless.

This is the way we're going to proceed. I'm enforcing the subpoena to the extent it requests documents. I am putting a hold for the moment on the subpoena to the extent it requests a deposition. We are going to kind of see where we get. I don't think that the subpoena is overbroad with perhaps one exception, which I will tell you about in one second here once I pull it back up again.

MR. HOWE: Your Honor, might I be heard briefly?

THE COURT: I have heard you and I am ruling. Might you not interrupt me while I am ruling.

Going back to the state request and the subpoena, I think the time frame is a little bit overbroad. I'm only going to run it back to 2010. I don't think it's overly broad if it goes back to 2010 because it's asking for objections in other cases. I think five years is enough.

MR. BALBANIAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think the requests for retainer agreements are relevant, I think the requests for documents

1 that show whether the person is actually a class member is 2 relevant, and the rest of it I think directly relates to 3 matters that are potentially an issue in the case. 4 So the subpoena is enforced with the one 5 modification. The subpoenas, rather, for documents are 6 enforced with the one modification, and so the motion to 7 quash -- and one of them is called Motion to Quash, that's 8 The other is called Motion to Quash For a document 145. 9 Protective Order and to Stay Discovery, that's 144. They are 10 denied in part and deferred in part. The part that's deferred 11 is the part about live depositions. They are to be complied 12 with by a week from today, so the compliance date is moved to 13 the 19th of August. When am I having you coming back? 14 MR. BALBANIAN: The 24th, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: If you think on the 24th that there's 16 more that you need, if you really need a deposition, we will 17 talk about that then. 18 MR. BALBANIAN: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Let's see. I just want to make sure I've 20 covered what I needed to cover here. 21 I have two other unrelated items about class member 22 issues that I needed to raise with class counsel, but that's 23 all I've got on this. 24 I received yesterday afternoon -- I received

yesterday afternoon a claim form from somebody named

1 Geannoris, G-e-a-n-n-o-r-i-s, Green. It's dated June 23rd. 2 And there is a note on it that says, This was filed before a 3 court date, I mailed it in June. The problem is I didn't get 4 an envelope. What I got was something with a little Post-it 5 note on the back which means just in my experience in court is 6 that it got sent somewhere else first and somebody has 7 forwarded it to me. I am going to give this to you. 8 The second question is that my courtroom deputy got a 9 number of calls from a person who wants to opt out and is 10 having a hard time figuring that out. 11 MR. BALBANIAN: We have spoken with that individual. 12 We are trying to work it out. 13 THE COURT: What was the name of the person, Pam, the 14 last name? 15 MR. BALBANIAN: Minks. 16 THE COURT: Minks, that's it. 17 MR. BALBANIAN: Yeah, we are speaking with Ms. Minks. 18 THE COURT: Unless there is anything else that I 19 haven't ruled on, see you on the 24th. 20 MR. BALBANIAN: Thank you, your Honor. 21 MR. HOWE: Thank you. 22 (Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled 23 cause on the day and date aforesaid.) 24

	23
1 2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
3	Carolyn R. Cox Date
4	Carolyn R. Cox Official Court Reporter Northern District of Illinois
5	/s/Carolyn R. Cox, CSR, RPR, CRR, FCRR
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I	Α	aware [2] - 11:15, 11:17
la Carolyn (d. 22) E	ahove orditled to 20,00,00.4	В
/s/Carolyn [1] - 23:5	above-entitled [2] - 22:22, 23:1	
_	absence [2] - 5:15, 7:22	had 45:44
1	absent [1] - 12:1	bad [1] - 15:11
	absolutely [1] - 18:18	BALBANIAN [22] - 2:3, 2:25, 3:18,
40 0.00 40.40 40.44	abuse [2] - 13:18, 14:22	3:20, 4:4, 4:10, 6:3, 14:5, 16:24, 17:9,
10 [3] - 8:20, 13:13, 13:14	abused [1] - 15:1	17:14, 17:16, 18:1, 18:4, 19:24, 20:23,
108 [1] - 8:24	accordingly [1] - 16:19	21:14, 21:18, 22:11, 22:15, 22:17,
11 [1] - 16:17	accusations [1] - 17:21	22:20
13 [1] - 2:2	acted [1] - 7:2	Balbanian [1] - 2:4
141 [1] - 2:12	acting [1] - 5:1	Bandas [1] - 19:10
144 [2] - 13:1, 21:9	_	basis [1] - 17:5
145 [1] - 21:8	action [2] - 6:25, 16:21	bath [1] - 18:6
1554 [1] - 8:20	Action [1] - 11:20	bear [1] - 12:15
1974 [1] - 7:13	actions [2] - 5:5, 11:1	
1984) [1] - 14:13	add [1] - 7:5	bearing [1] - 17:22
,	addition [3] - 2:7, 9:10, 11:19	behalf [6] - 2:4, 2:6, 2:15, 2:17, 2:18,
19th [1] - 21:13	additional [1] - 8:12	3:2
	address [1] - 17:17	behind [1] - 18:19
2	adversarial [4] - 9:11, 9:22, 9:24,	behold [1] - 5:3
	10:23	Ben [1] - 2:4
20 m - 6:6	adversary [2] - 9:21, 10:3	bet [2] - 13:17, 15:3
20 [1] - 6:6	advocating [1] - 11:12	between [3] - 4:11, 6:23, 10:23
2010 [3] - 8:21, 20:20, 20:21		bit [3] - 3:12, 11:1, 20:19
23rd [1] - 22:1	affect [1] - 16:5	blood [1] - 18:6
24th [3] - 21:14, 21:15, 22:19	affidavit [2] - 19:8, 19:16	bond [2] - 5:22, 18:19
29 [1] - 8:21	affirmed [1] - 13:23	
	aforesaid [1] - 22:23	bone [1] - 4:2
3	afternoon [3] - 2:14, 21:24, 21:25	brawn [1] - 4:25
-	ago [1] - 7:12	breath [1] - 17:20
	agreement [1] - 9:15	briefly [1] - 20:15
37 [1] - 15:2	agreements [3] - 6:17, 6:18, 20:25	broad [1] - 20:20
3rd [1] - 14:13	alerted [2] - 4:25, 5:2	brought [2] - 15:18, 15:21
	Allen [1] - 2:22	build [1] - 18:22
4	allowed [4] - 6:10, 8:1, 18:22, 18:24	building [1] - 5:18
-	alone [1] - 4:17	Bullard [1] - 12:22
		burden [3] - 7:14, 9:9, 15:22
45 [2] - 7:7, 20:5	answer [4] - 11:5, 11:6, 11:7, 14:5	business [1] - 18:12
4806 [1] - 2:2	antitrust [2] - 14:12, 14:17	
	appeal [4] - 5:22, 6:7, 15:11, 18:19	С
5	Appeals [3] - 13:7, 13:11, 13:22	C
	appear [1] - 2:15	
	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4	California 111 - 8:21
562 [2] - 13:19, 14:13		California [1] - 8:21
562 [2] - 13:19, 14:13 587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17	camera [1] - 14:15
• • •	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14,
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5 7 751 [2] - 13:19, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4 attorney-client [1] - 7:4	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25 cents [1] - 15:2
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5 7 751 [2] - 13:19, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4 attorneys [2] - 2:12, 6:24	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25 cents [1] - 15:2 certain [3] - 4:20, 5:6, 5:14
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5 7 751 [2] - 13:19, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4 attorney-client [1] - 7:4 attorneys [2] - 2:12, 6:24 August [2] - 5:13, 21:13	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25 cents [1] - 15:2 certain [3] - 4:20, 5:6, 5:14 certainly [1] - 14:20
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5 7 751 [2] - 13:19, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4 attorney-client [1] - 7:4 attorneys [2] - 2:12, 6:24 August [2] - 5:13, 21:13 authority [4] - 11:17, 11:18, 12:12,	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25 cents [1] - 15:2 certain [3] - 4:20, 5:6, 5:14
587 [2] - 13:20, 14:13 5th [1] - 5:13 6 605 [1] - 8:24 62 [1] - 6:5 7 751 [2] - 13:19, 14:13	appearance [2] - 2:8, 3:4 applies [1] - 16:17 appropriate [2] - 7:10, 13:12 approval [4] - 4:1, 5:12, 5:17, 9:14 arguably [1] - 14:21 argued [1] - 20:2 argument [2] - 5:24, 6:10 arguments [1] - 18:14 Arthur [1] - 2:6 aside [2] - 7:6 asserted [1] - 16:6 Associates [1] - 14:14 assuming [1] - 6:21 attachment [1] - 6:15 attorney [2] - 5:2, 7:4 attorney-client [1] - 7:4 attorneys [2] - 2:12, 6:24 August [2] - 5:13, 21:13	camera [1] - 14:15 cannot [1] - 14:25 Capital [1] - 19:16 care [1] - 17:20 Carolyn [1] - 23:3 case [30] - 3:12, 3:24, 3:25, 4:5, 4:9, 6:4, 6:7, 6:18, 7:12, 7:16, 8:1, 10:7, 10:21, 11:9, 11:15, 12:7, 13:16, 14:7, 16:12, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 19:1, 19:2, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21, 21:3 cases [12] - 5:5, 6:19, 7:2, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:12, 11:2, 14:21, 18:23, 19:14, 20:22 central [1] - 13:25 cents [1] - 15:2 certain [3] - 4:20, 5:6, 5:14 certainly [1] - 14:20

certification [1] - 11:25 certified [1] - 12:8 certify [1] - 23:1 chance [1] - 15:21 change [1] - 13:17 chill [1] - 18:2 chilling [2] - 18:4, 18:11 Christopher [1] - 19:10 Cir (1) - 14:13 Circuit [6] - 7:12, 10:7, 11:9, 11:15, 13:15, 15:6 circuit [4] - 11:18, 12:12, 13:10 Circuit's [1] - 19:3 circuit-level [3] - 11:18, 12:12, 13:10 circuits [1] - 8:4 citation [1] - 9:2 citations [1] - 9:6 cite [3] - 8:12, 8:22, 13:4 cited [3] - 10:8, 11:19, 13:22 citing [1] - 14:21 claim [1] - 21:25 Clark [6] - 7:12, 7:16, 10:7, 10:19, 10:21, 11:8 class [35] - 2:5, 2:6, 3:15, 3:23, 4:18, 4:24, 5:5, 5:7, 6:5, 6:21, 7:13, 7:14, 7:23, 9:14, 9:24, 10:23, 10:25, 11:1, 11:3, 11:4, 12:1, 12:7, 15:15, 15:16, 16:1, 16:7, 16:15, 16:21, 19:15, 21:1, 21:21, 21:22 Class [1] - 11:20 clear [2] - 4:13, 5:19 **CLERK** [1] - 2:2 client [2] - 7:4, 19:11 close [3] - 7:13, 14:1, 20:6 cluttered [1] - 13:24 co [1] - 7:24 co-counsel [1] - 7:24 collateral [2] - 13:25, 14:25 coming [2] - 3:14, 21:13 **commended** [1] - 19:5 comparable [1] - 14:19 compensation [1] - 15:22 completely [2] - 4:17, 13:25 complex [1] - 14:20 compliance [1] - 21:12 complied [1] - 21:11 concern [1] - 6:12 concerned [2] - 3:12, 4:6 concluded [2] - 15:6, 15:7 conferred [3] - 4:6, 4:12, 19:24 confused [1] - 13:24 considering [1] - 14:23 contacted [1] - 19:12 contention [1] - 4:3 contentious [1] - 18:8 context [12] - 3:24, 7:18, 10:11, 10:14, 10:15, 10:18, 11:8, 11:10, 12:4, 12:5, contradicted [1] - 18:15 copies [1] - 9:6 copying [1] - 18:17

corporate [1] - 14:16 correct [1] - 23:1 correspondence [3] - 6:23, 7:1, 7:3 counsel [18] - 2:14, 2:16, 2:22, 3:23, 4:12, 4:25, 5:1, 7:13, 7:24, 9:5, 9:14, 9:25, 16:4, 16:7, 16:15, 17:8, 21:22 counseled [1] - 7:12 couple [3] - 5:10, 17:6, 17:16 course [1] - 4:17 court [8] - 2:1, 6:8, 6:11, 13:6, 18:7, 19:7, 22:3, 22:5 Court [19] - 3:22, 4:23, 5:25, 6:11, 9:4, 9:21, 13:7, 13:11, 13:22, 14:10, 14:14, 14:18, 15:1, 15:5, 15:6, 18:5, 18:23, 23:3 **COURT** [63] - 2:8, 2:11, 2:18, 2:21, 2:23, 3:2, 3:6, 3:10, 3:19, 4:2, 4:8, 6:2, 6:13, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 8:3, 8:6, 8:13, 8:15, 8:18, 8:22, 9:1, 9:12, 9:16, 9:19, 9:22, 10:1, 10:6, 10:10, 10:14, 10:18, 11:9, 11:16, 11:18, 11:21, 11:23, 12:4, 12:17, 12:21, 12:25, 13:3, 13:6, 13:9, 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 14:7, 16:22, 17:6, 17:11, 17:15, 17:19, 18:2, 19:19, 20:1, 20:16, 20:24, 21:15, 21:19, 22:13, 22:16, 22:18 court's [1] - 13:23 Court's [3] - 3:23, 5:16, 6:12 courtroom [1] - 22:8 courts [2] - 9:8, 19:13 cover [1] - 21:20 covered [1] - 21:20 Cox [2] - 23:3, 23:5 Cozby [3] - 2:7, 12:23, 12:25 CRR [1] - 23:5 CSR [1] - 23:5 curable [1] - 7:8 cut [1] - 19:19 cutoff [1] - 4:8 CV [2] - 8:20, 8:24 D

Daniels [1] - 8:19 Darrell [1] - 19:10 Date [1] - 23:3 date [6] - 4:8, 5:13, 8:21, 21:12, 22:3, 22:23 dated [1] - 22:1 Davila [1] - 6:5 deal [1] - 18:13 dealing [2] - 10:18, 14:10 dealt [1] - 11:8 decide [1] - 17:22 decided [1] - 17:23 decision [1] - 13:5 defect [1] - 7:8 defendant [6] - 10:22, 10:24, 11:1, 11:2, 12:6 defendants [1] - 14:16

deferred [2] - 21:10 denied [1] - 21:10 denigrate [1] - 17:3 denying [1] - 15:1 deposition [3] - 17:12, 20:11, 21:16 depositions [2] - 11:3, 21:11 deputy [1] - 22:8 deterrent [1] - 15:20 directed [1] - 12:1 directly [1] - 21:2 disallow [1] - 13:19 disallowed [2] - 8:3, 8:5 disbarred [1] - 19:11 disclosure [1] - 14:15 Discovery [2] - 11:25, 21:9 discovery [33] - 3:8, 3:13, 4:1, 4:6, 4:8, 4:10, 4:13, 4:15, 5:6, 5:25, 6:7, 6:9, 7:14, 7:22, 8:1, 9:8, 10:1, 10:2, 10:5, 10:16, 10:24, 11:10, 11:13, 12:6, 12:9, 13:11, 14:22, 14:24, 15:20, 16:9, 17:7, 17:18, 18:24 discretion [4] - 13:19, 14:23, 15:1, discussion [1] - 3:23 District [5] - 7:25, 8:6, 8:20, 8:25, 23:4 district [6] - 6:8, 6:10, 13:6, 13:18, 13:23, 18:23 district-level [1] - 18:23 divested [1] - 6:1 docket [3] - 8:19, 8:24, 12:25 document [3] - 2:11, 12:24, 21:8 documents [6] - 6:17, 6:21, 6:23, 20:9, 20:25, 21:5 done [1] - 19:15 down [1] - 9:8

Ε

early [1] - 4:9 Eble [1] - 11:20 effect [3] - 15:20, 18:4, 18:11 eight [1] - 6:16 eight-item [1] - 6:16 electronically [1] - 9:5 **employment** [1] - 11:2 encourage [2] - 15:18, 15:23 end [1] - 19:15 ended [1] - 4:2 enforced [2] - 21:4, 21:6 enforcing [1] - 20:9 enlightening [1] - 14:18 entire [1] - 14:11 entitled [2] - 22:22, 23:1 envelope [1] - 22:4 equivocal [1] - 11:5 essentially [1] - 6:16 eventually [1] - 18:9 evidence [1] - 14:23 exact [3] - 6:3, 18:16, 18:17 example [1] - 11:2

exception [1] - 20:13 excuse [1] - 5:1 existence [1] - 7:4 experience [1] - 22:5 explained [1] - 6:14 extension [1] - 16:9 extent [3] - 12:2, 20:9, 20:10 extract [1] - 4:22

F

F-r-a-n-z [1] - 2:20 F.2d [1] - 14:13 F.3d [1] - 13:19 facilitate [1] - 15:18 fact [1] - 9:6 familiar [2] - 5:16, 13:4 far [3] - 4:5, 4:23, 18:21 FCRR [1] - 23:5 Federal [1] - 11:20 fees [3] - 14:1, 14:3, 14:15 figuring [1] - 22:10 file [5] - 2:8, 2:9, 3:3, 3:4, 9:5 filed [7] - 3:16, 5:8, 5:9, 7:2, 16:7, 16:12, 22:2 filing [2] - 2:16, 18:3 final [1] - 5:17 Fine [2] - 13:13, 14:12 fine [2] - 5:20, 18:12 finished [2] - 5:13, 17:17 first [8] - 2:21, 3:14, 7:11, 9:7, 15:15, 15:17, 17:1, 22:6 five [1] - 20:22 folks [1] - 17:1 following [1] - 2:1 fooled [1] - 9:12 foregoing [1] - 23:1 form [2] - 8:16, 21:25 fortunately [1] - 15:2 forward [1] - 3:16 forwarded [1] - 22:7 frame [1] - 20:19 Frank [1] - 19:6 frank [2] - 19:7, 19:13 frankly [4] - 3:21, 3:24, 4:11, 20:2 Franz [5] - 2:14, 2:20, 3:2, 6:15, 12:21 frivolous [4] - 4:21, 16:17, 16:18, 17:3

G

Geannoris [1] - 22:1 GEANNORIS [1] - 22:1 generate [1] - 14:24 generic [1] - 15:15 ghost [2] - 17:10, 19:2 given [3] - 3:12, 5:14, 6:9 granted [2] - 2:11, 3:2 Green [1] - 22:1

front [1] - 19:17

group [1] - 2:7 **guess** [4] - 3:10, 3:11, 5:8, 6:9 **guy** [1] - 19:13

Н

hang [1] - 14:8 harass [1] - 5:12 harbor [1] - 16:19 hard [1] - 22:10 harmless [1] - 20:7 heard [3] - 16:15, 20:15, 20:16 helpful [1] - 14:21 hold [2] - 15:1, 20:10 Holderman [1] - 19:17 honest [1] - 16:5 Honor [27] - 2:3, 2:13, 2:25, 3:9, 3:21, 7:11, 7:19, 8:10, 8:11, 8:23, 9:4, 10:4, 10:9, 11:20, 12:15, 13:4, 13:21, 14:5, 16:1, 16:10, 16:14, 16:24, 20:15, 20:23, 21:14, 21:18, 22:20 Horn [1] - 8:24 hourly [2] - 14:19, 15:8 hours [2] - 14:19, 14:23 **HOWE** [47] - 2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:20, 2:22, 2:24, 3:1, 3:5, 3:9, 7:11, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 8:4, 8:10, 8:14, 8:16, 8:19, 8:23, 9:3, 9:13, 9:17, 9:20, 9:24, 10:4, 10:9, 10:13, 10:17, 11:7, 11:15, 11:17, 11:19, 11:22, 11:25, 12:15, 12:20, 12:23, 13:2, 13:4, 13:8, 13:13, 13:15, 13:21, 15:15, 16:25, 20:15, 22:21 **howe** [1] - 7:5 Howe [4] - 2:6, 17:15, 17:18, 20:2 huge [1] - 6:5 Hughes [1] - 4:24 hundred [1] - 6:6

-

idea [3] - 5:9, 15:11, 15:13

identity [2] - 16:3, 16:4

IEG [1] - 8:20 Illinois [2] - 7:25, 23:4 improper [1] - 7:22 included [1] - 3:25 including [1] - 6:23 individual [4] - 12:1, 19:5, 19:11, information [3] - 14:20, 15:7, 15:8 inhibit [1] - 15:22 inquiries [1] - 14:25 inquiry [1] - 13:24 intentionally [1] - 4:5 interrupt [1] - 20:17 **intimidate** [1] - 5:12 involved [1] - 15:4 irrelevant [1] - 15:14 issue [12] - 3:21, 4:15, 4:19, 4:20, 6:20, 9:13, 13:25, 14:2, 17:23, 18:25, 19:2, 21:3
 issued [1] - 6:7
 issues [5] - 15:5, 18:10, 18:19, 18:20, 21:22
 issuing [1] - 4:12
 item [2] - 6:16
 items [1] - 21:21
 itself [1] - 14:4

J

Judge [3] - 6:5, 19:5, 19:17 judge [2] - 13:18, 15:7 judge's [1] - 15:11 July [1] - 8:21 June [2] - 22:1, 22:3 jurisdiction [1] - 6:1

K

keep [1] - 12:4 kick [1] - 9:4 kind [4] - 5:4, 5:11, 13:11, 20:11 Kolinek [2] - 2:2, 2:4

L

language [1] - 4:4 last [1] - 22:14 law [1] - 18:16 Law [1] - 8:22 Lawrence [1] - 14:14 lawsuit [1] - 10:22 lawyer [1] - 16:12 lawyers [5] - 6:19, 7:3, 14:19, 17:2 lead [1] - 2:14 learned [1] - 17:9 leave [2] - 3:22, 6:11 Leavitt [1] - 13:5 left [1] - 4:17 legitimacy [1] - 16:5 legitimate [2] - 15:18, 15:24 less [2] - 15:21, 18:15 letter [3] - 8:17, 9:4, 16:19 level [4] - 11:18, 12:12, 13:10, 18:23 lifeLock [1] - 8:19 likelihood [1] - 14:24 likely [1] - 15:21 litigation [5] - 9:10, 14:13, 14:16, 14:17, 14:20 live [1] - 21:11 lo [1] - 5:2 local [2] - 2:15, 5:1 lodging [1] - 4:21 look [2] - 4:23, 11:4 looked [2] - 7:24 looking [10] - 6:15, 12:11, 12:14, 12:17, 12:18, 12:20, 12:21, 12:22,

17:12, 17:13

low [1] - 15:21 Ltd [1] - 14:14

M

mailed [1] - 22:3 Manual [1] - 11:20 Marketing [1] - 8:19 matter [2] - 5:19, 23:1 matters [2] - 14:25, 21:3 McDonald [3] - 2:13, 2:22, 17:2 mean [1] - 10:14 means [1] - 22:5 meant [1] - 5:11 Melinda [3] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2 member [4] - 7:14, 12:7, 21:1, 21:21 members [10] - 3:15, 4:18, 4:25, 5:7, 7:23, 10:25, 11:4, 12:1, 15:16, 19:15 membership [1] - 6:21 merit [1] - 18:18 meritorious [1] - 18:15 merits [1] - 17:24 met [3] - 4:6, 4:11, 9:9 might [2] - 20:15, 20:16 million [1] - 6:5 Minks [3] - 22:15, 22:16, 22:17 modification [2] - 21:5, 21:6 moment [2] - 12:15, 20:10 money [1] - 19:14 moonlight [1] - 19:18 moonlights [1] - 19:8 morning [2] - 2:3, 16:16 Motion [2] - 21:7, 21:8 motion [17] - 2:8, 2:12, 2:16, 2:18, 3:3, 6:15, 8:11, 11:19, 12:11, 12:13, 12:18, 12:21, 12:22, 12:23, 13:9, 15:2, 21:6 motions [2] - 3:6, 4:23 motivations [1] - 18:19 motive [1] - 7:22 move [1] - 5:22 moved [2] - 14:14, 21:12 moving [1] - 13:22 **MR** [69] - 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:20, 2:22, 2:24, 2:25, 3:1, 3:5, 3:9, 3:18, 3:20, 4:4, 4:10, 6:3, 7:11, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 8:4, 8:10, 8:14, 8:16, 8:19, 8:23, 9:3, 9:13, 9:17, 9:20, 9:24, 10:4, 10:9, 10:13, 10:17, 11:7, 11:15, 11:17, 11:19, 11:22, 11:25, 12:15, 12:20, 12:23, 13:2, 13:4, 13:8, 13:13, 13:15, 13:21, 14:5, 15:15, 16:24, 16:25, 17:9, 17:14, 17:16, 18:1, 18:4, 19:24, 20:15, 20:23, 21:14, 21:18, 22:11, 22:15, 22:17, 22:20, 22:21

Ν

must [1] - 12:17

name [6] - 2:21, 2:23, 5:8, 19:5, 22:13, 22:14

named [2] - 11:4, 21:25 nastier [1] - 5:5 nationwide [1] - 8:24 necessarily [1] - 16:22 necessary [2] - 9:21, 12:2 necessity [1] - 7:21 need [5] - 7:21, 15:8, 16:11, 21:16 needed [2] - 21:20, 21:22 Netflix [3] - 6:4, 18:5, 19:12 never [3] - 4:8, 16:20, 19:12 nobody [1] - 8:7 non [2] - 6:21, 6:23 non-privileged [2] - 6:21, 6:23 none [3] - 15:9, 17:23, 17:24 Northern [4] - 7:25, 8:6, 8:25, 23:4 note [3] - 16:14, 22:2, 22:5 notice [1] - 7:7 notorious [1] - 19:9 number [5] - 2:11, 4:16, 8:20, 13:1, 22:9

0

object [3] - 4:18, 18:14 objected [3] - 16:20, 18:5, 19:6 **objecting** [3] - 9:19, 11:13, 14:3 objection [9] - 5:3, 5:8, 6:22, 10:15, 12:10, 16:3, 16:6, 16:12, 18:18 objections [23] - 3:16, 4:21, 5:15, 5:16, 6:6, 10:17, 11:11, 15:18, 15:21, 15:24, 16:8, 16:11, 16:16, 16:17, 16:20, 18:3, 18:8, 18:9, 18:16, 18:17, 19:9, 19:18, 20:21 objector [14] - 2:14, 2:20, 6:18, 7:3, 7:15, 9:17, 9:20, 12:10, 15:23, 16:4, 17:4, 17:8, 17:10, 18:5 **objectors** [20] - 2:7, 4:13, 4:15, 4:16, 4:20, 4:21, 4:24, 5:7, 7:1, 10:16, 14:17, 15:9, 15:19, 15:24, 18:10, 19:4, 19:9, 20:1. 20:4 occur[1] - 3:21 offense [2] - 18:6, 18:11 offered [1] - 14:23 office [1] - 9:3 Official [1] - 23:3 often [1] - 3:11 Ohio [1] - 8:25 old [1] - 7:16 Oldhamm [1] - 4:24 once [1] - 20:14 one [14] - 5:17, 6:20, 11:11, 11:13, 12:15, 12:18, 17:9, 18:8, 19:21, 20:13, 21:4, 21:6, 21:7 One [1] - 19:16 one-day [1] - 5:17 ongoing [1] - 4:10 open [2] - 2:1, 4:6 opinion [3] - 8:7, 14:8, 19:3 opinions [2] - 8:10, 9:6 opt [1] - 22:9

oral [1] - 2:18 orally [1] - 8:9 Order [1] - 21:9 order [5] - 3:3, 4:1, 4:22, 15:17, 16:9 orders [1] - 3:7 overbroad [3] - 10:5, 20:12, 20:19 overly [1] - 20:20

Ρ

page [8] - 12:13, 12:16, 12:17, 12:19, 13:3, 13:13, 13:14, 14:13 paid [1] - 14:15 Palmer [1] - 19:10 palmer [1] - 19:11 Pam [1] - 22:13 Paper [2] - 13:13, 14:12 paper [1] - 13:22 paragraph [1] - 14:11 part [5] - 11:3, 21:10, 21:11 particular [2] - 6:18, 16:4 parties [4] - 11:12, 11:14, 19:21, 20:1 party [1] - 19:21 past [5] - 4:20, 6:13, 10:21, 18:16, 19:1 pasting [1] - 18:17 payout [1] - 4:22 Pearson [1] - 19:3 pending [1] - 6:4 people [5] - 6:5, 11:3, 14:3, 18:3, perhaps [1] - 20:13 permit [2] - 8:11, 13:23 permitted [1] - 12:2 person [6] - 2:23, 6:24, 10:2, 21:1, 22:9, 22:13 personal [1] - 16:14 phonetic [1] - 5:1 plaintiff [1] - 2:4 plays [2] - 9:17, 9:20 plenty [1] - 15:7 Plews [1] - 17:1 pocket [2] - 13:17, 15:3 point [11] - 5:24, 9:7, 10:4, 12:5, 16:14, 17:24, 18:5, 18:21, 18:23, 19:3, 19:22 points [3] - 5:10, 7:11, 17:16 Posner [1] - 19:5 Post [1] - 22:4 Post-it [1] - 22:4 potentially [1] - 21:3 precise [2] - 9:9, 11:8 preliminary [1] - 4:1 prepared [1] - 16:10 pretty [4] - 5:19, 7:16, 13:21, 14:7 privilege [2] - 14:25, 16:15 privileged [2] - 6:21, 6:23 problem [2] - 19:2, 22:3 proceed [1] - 20:8 proceeding [1] - 9:23 proceedings [3] - 2:1, 22:22, 23:1 process [2] - 5:12, 5:16

professional [6] - 4:21, 5:6, 16:16, 16:20, 17:4, 17:10 professionals [1] - 6:6 promoting [1] - 11:12 proper [1] - 7:7 protect [1] - 19:15 protective [1] - 3:7 Protective [1] - 21:9 provide [2] - 9:5, 9:6 provision [1] - 3:25 pull [2] - 13:16, 20:14 pulling [1] - 10:20 purpose [1] - 14:18 put [1] - 8:16 putative [1] - 10:23 putting [3] - 7:6, 20:10 Q Quash [2] - 21:7, 21:8 quash [2] - 3:7, 21:7 questions [3] - 7:6, 7:7, 17:6

quick [2] - 17:16, 17:17

14.13

quite [4] - 4:11, 5:14, 10:25, 20:2

quote [6] - 7:13, 13:23, 14:1, 14:11,

R

requested [1] - 2:15 requests [5] - 17:7, 20:9, 20:11, 20:24, 20:25 require [1] - 14:15 requirement [1] - 20:3 respect [7] - 4:22, 7:23, 9:13, 10:17, 16:2, 16:10, 16:20 respond [3] - 16:19, 17:15, 17:24 response [1] - 16:7 rest [1] - 21:2 retained [1] - 17:8 retainer [3] - 6:17, 6:18, 20:24 returning [1] - 9:7 reviewed [1] - 14:22 revise [1] - 11:7 revisit [1] - 11:5 Richman [1] - 2:4 role [3] - 9:11, 9:18, 9:20 **RPR**[1] - 23:5 Rule [3] - 7:7, 16:17, 20:5 rule [1] - 16:10 ruled [2] - 8:9, 22:19 ruling [2] - 20:16, 20:17 rulings [1] - 14:22 run [1] - 20:20

S

radioShack [1] - 19:4 Rafey [1] - 2:3 raise [1] - 21:22 rates [3] - 14:19, 14:24, 15:8 rather [1] - 21:5 Re [1] - 14:12 read [1] - 10:21 real [3] - 17:17, 18:10, 19:2 really [1] - 21:16 reason [2] - 9:9, 15:10 reasonable [1] - 14:19 reasonableness [2] - 14:1, 14:3 reasons [1] - 15:15 received [2] - 21:24 recollection [2] - 10:19, 10:20 record [7] - 5:18, 5:21, 8:14, 13:24, 16:10, 18:22, 23:1 records [2] - 6:19, 17:13 Redman [1] - 19:4 referred [1] - 12:13 Refusal [1] - 13:23 regard [1] - 20:1 relate [1] - 6:24 relates [2] - 12:10, 21:2 relating [3] - 3:8, 6:17, 12:12 relationship [1] - 7:4 relatively [1] - 4:9 relevant [4] - 14:21, 15:5, 20:25, 21:2 Reporter [1] - 23:3 request [5] - 6:17, 10:15, 14:1, 14:18,

safe [1] - 16:19 save [1] - 17:19 scenario [1] - 6:3 scores [1] - 18:23 second [9] - 9:16, 10:4, 13:3, 14:8, 15:16, 16:1, 18:21, 20:14, 22:8 secondly [1] - 17:11 see [4] - 8:3, 20:11, 21:19, 22:19 seek [4] - 3:22, 7:14, 7:22, 15:23 seeking [2] - 6:11, 16:8 send [1] - 17:7 sending [1] - 16:18 sense [2] - 15:13, 15:14 sent [1] - 22:6 sentences [1] - 14:12 serve [3] - 17:18, 19:22, 20:4 served [2] - 19:20, 20:5 set [1] - 15:17 settled [1] - 4:9 settlement [11] - 2:5, 9:14, 10:15, 11:11, 11:12, 11:13, 12:10, 14:4, 16:21, 18:6. 19:6 settling [1] - 14:15 seven [1] - 6:16 **Seventh** [5] - 7:12, 10:7, 11:9, 11:15, 19:3 several [2] - 14:21, 16:15 severe [1] - 7:13 Shadley [1] - 17:1 short [1] - 19:19 show [2] - 7:21, 21:1

sic [1] - 2:22 side [1] - 5:5 signed [1] - 19:12 simply [2] - 10:5, 17:4 simultaneously [2] - 20:6 single [1] - 8:1 six [1] - 6:16 six-item [1] - 6:16 slip [1] - 8:10 somewhat [1] - 5:4 somewhere [2] - 2:9, 22:6 soon [1] - 9:3 sought [3] - 5:6, 8:2, 14:20 Southern [1] - 8:20 Southwest [2] - 3:23, 19:6 speaking [1] - 22:17 specific [2] - 15:16, 16:1 spoken [1] - 22:11 stage [4] - 3:12, 4:9, 9:10, 9:11 state [1] - 20:18 statement [1] - 4:14 states [1] - 11:22 Stay [1] - 21:9 stepped [1] - 3:16 still [1] - 10:22 strike [1] - 15:4 struck [1] - 9:8 stuff [1] - 7:1 subject [1] - 15:19 submitted [1] - 8:12 subpoena [9] - 17:12, 19:20, 19:23, 20:4, 20:9, 20:10, 20:12, 20:18, 21:4 subpoenas [1] - 21:5 **substance** [1] - 7:9 substitute [3] - 2:12, 2:16, 2:18 **suggesting** [1] - 17:3 support [1] - 6:22 system [1] - 15:17

showing [1] - 6:19

Т

talks [1] - 11:10 Ted [1] - 19:5 terminology [1] - 18:7 terms [1] - 19:20 testimony [1] - 17:12 THE [64] - 2:2, 2:8, 2:11, 2:18, 2:21, 2:23, 3:2, 3:6, 3:10, 3:19, 4:2, 4:8, 6:2, 6:13, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 8:3, 8:6, 8:13, 8:15, 8:18, 8:22, 9:1, 9:12, 9:16, 9:19, 9:22, 10:1, 10:6, 10:10, 10:14, 10:18, 11:9, 11:16, 11:18, 11:21, 11:23, 12:4, 12:17, 12:21, 12:25, 13:3, 13:6, 13:9, 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 14:7, 16:22, 17:6, 17:11, 17:15, 17:19, 18:2, 19:19, 20:1, 20:16, 20:24, 21:15, 21:19, 22:13, 22:16, 22:18 they've [1] - 18:16 thinks [1] - 17:21

third [1] - 13:15
Third [1] - 15:6
three [1] - 14:12
timing [3] - 5:11, 7:6, 18:21
Timothy [1] - 11:20
today [5] - 5:2, 8:9, 17:22, 17:23,
21:12
transcript [1] - 23:1
trying [1] - 22:12
two [4] - 3:6, 7:11, 15:15, 21:21
type [3] - 5:17, 15:22, 18:24
types [1] - 19:14

U

unbelievably [1] - 18:7 under [1] - 7:7 underlying [1] - 14:16 understood [1] - 18:1 unfortunately [1] - 5:4 unintentionally [1] - 4:5 unless [2] - 9:1, 22:18 unnamed [3] - 3:15, 10:25, 12:7 unrelated [2] - 16:13, 21:21 unwarranted [1] - 17:21 up [15] - 4:2, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 7:18, 10:11, 10:20, 10:25, 13:17, 15:17, 19:12, 20:14 utility [1] - 19:4

٧

validity [1] - 16:5 Van [1] - 8:24 vendetta [1] - 4:14 view [1] - 5:12 violation [1] - 20:5 vis-à-vis [1] - 9:24

W

wait [1] - 9:16 Walgreen [1] - 2:2 Walgreens [8] - 4:11, 9:14, 9:15, 9:25, 10:2, 19:22, 20:6 Walgreens' [1] - 4:12 Walner [1] - 14:14 wants [3] - 11:1, 11:2, 22:9 weakness [1] - 3:20 week [1] - 21:12 West [1] - 8:22 whatnot [1] - 5:15 wish [2] - 7:14, 8:14 withdrawn [1] - 18:9 withdrew [1] - 5:3 won [1] - 15:3 words [2] - 6:25, 13:10 write [1] - 8:7 writes [1] - 19:8

writing [1] - 19:2 written [1] - 17:10 wrote [1] - 6:25

Υ

years [1] - 20:22 yesterday [3] - 2:14, 21:24, 21:25

Ζ

zip [1] - 15:9